UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JOHN T. UNGER,		
Plaintiff,		Case No. 1:13-cv-00854-PLM
vs.		Hon. Paul L. Maloney
OHIO FLAME, LLC, et al.,		
Defendants.	/	
	/	

ORDER SETTING TELEPHONE RULE 16 SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. <u>Rule 16 Scheduling Conference</u>: A telephone scheduling conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 is hereby scheduled for <u>Monday, January 6,2014 at 02:30 PM</u>, before Magistrate Judge Joseph G. Scoville. It shall be the responsibility of counsel for plaintiff, or the plaintiff if not represented by counsel, to arrange the telephone conference with defendant's counsel at plaintiff's expense. When all parties to attend the conference are on the telephone line, the call should be placed to the judge's chambers at telephone number (616) 456–2309.
- 2. <u>Matters to be Considered at the Scheduling Conference</u>: The purpose of the scheduling conference is to review the joint status report and to explore methods of expediting the disposition of the action by: establishing early and ongoing case management; discouraging wasteful pretrial activities; establishing limitations on discovery; facilitating the settlement of a case; establishing an early, firm trial date; and improving the quality of the trial through thorough preparation.
- 3. <u>Presumptive Limitations</u>: In accordance with Rules 26 and 30 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court requires the following, unless all parties stipulate or a party demonstrates good cause for deviation:
 - (a) Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures will be required early in the case, with a continuing duty to supplement;
 - (b) Rule 26(a)(2) expert witness reports will be required before the close of discovery;
 - (c) Interrogatories will be limited to 25 per side and depositions will be limited to 10 per side, each of no more than 7 hours duration.

If disclosures are required under Rule 26, the court may preclude the calling of witnesses or the presentation of evidence as a sanction for failure to make timely and complete disclosures. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1).

The court normally allows six months for discovery, but will consider a shorter or longer period at the scheduling conference.

4. <u>Meeting of Parties and Preparation of Joint Status Report</u>: At least seven days before the Rule 16 conference, counsel (or unrepresented parties) shall meet to discuss the following: the nature and basis of the parties' claims and defenses, the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of the case, the formulation of a discovery plan, and the other topics listed below. **Plaintiff shall be responsible for scheduling the meeting, which may be conducted in person or by telephone.** After the meeting, the parties shall prepare a joint status report which must be filed with the Clerk of the Court no later than three business days before the conference in the following form:

A Rule 16 Scheduling Conference is scheduled for [Date of Conference], before Hon. Joseph G. Scoville. Appearing for the parties as counsel will be:

(List the counsel and/or unrepresented parties who will participate in the scheduling conference telephone call.)

1. <u>Jurisdiction</u>: The basis for the court's jurisdiction is:

(Set forth a statement of the basis for the court's jurisdiction. Indicate all objections.)

- 2. <u>Jury or Non–jury</u>: This case is to be tried [before a jury] [by the court as a trier of law and fact].
- 3. <u>Judicial Availability</u>: The parties [agree] [do not agree] to have a United States Magistrate Judge conduct any and all further proceedings in the case, including trial, and to order the entry of final judgment. [NOTE TO COUNSEL: If the parties consent to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(c) and so state in the joint Status Report, an Order of Reference will be issued transferring the matter to the magistrate judge and allowing appeals to be taken to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(c).]
- 4. Geographic Transfer: The parties are advised of the possibility, pursuant to W.D. Mich. LCivR 3.3(h), of a transfer of the action to a judge located in a different city, on the basis of the convenience of counsel, the parties, or witnesses. Reassignment of the action shall be at the discretion of the court and shall require the consent of all parties and of both the transferor and transferee judge. The parties shall advise whether a transfer for geographic convenience is warranted in this case.
- 5. Statement of the Case: This case involves:

(Set forth a brief description of the claims and defenses, sufficient to acquaint the court with the general nature of the case as well as the factual and legal issues requiring judicial resolution.)

6. <u>Pendent State Claims</u>: This case [does][does not] include pendent state claims.

(If pendent state claims are present	ited, include a statement describing such
claims, and all objections to the co	ourt retaining the pendent claims.)

claims, and all objections to the court retaining the pendent claims.)
7. <u>Joinder of Parties and Amendment of Pleadings</u> : The parties expect to fil all motions for joinder of parties to this action and to file all motions to amen the pleadings by
8. <u>Disclosures and Exchanges</u> :
(i) Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) requires initial disclosures unless the courorders otherwise. The parties propose the following schedule for Rul 26(a)(1) disclosures:
(ii) The plaintiff expects to be able to furnish the names of plaintiff expert witnesses by Defendant expects to be able to furnish the names of the defendant's expert witnesses by
(iii) It would (would not) be advisable in this case to exchange writte expert witness reports as contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2). Reports, if required, should be exchanged according to the followin schedule:
(Set forth the proposed schedule for exchange of expert witnes reports.)
(iv) The parties have agreed to make available the following document without the need of a formal request for production:
From plaintiff to defendant by: (Describe documents)

From defendant to plaintiff by ____: (Describe documents)

-OR-

The parties are unable to agree on voluntary production at this time.

The parties believe that all discovery proceedings can be <u>Discovery</u>: completed by _____. The parties recommend the following discovery plan:

(As required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), set forth proposed plan of discovery, including subjects on which discovery may be needed and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused on certain issues. Also set forth any recommendations as to limitations on discovery. Limitations may include the number of depositions, interrogatories, and requests for admissions, or limitations on the scope of discovery pending resolution of dispositive motions or alternative dispute resolution proceedings. State whether the presumptive time limits for depositions (one day of seven hours) should be modified in this case. Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(1).)

10. <u>Disclosure or Discovery of Electronically Stored Information</u>: The parties have discussed the production of electronically stored information and suggest that such information be handled as follows:

(State whether any party has electronically stored information that will be subject to disclosure or discovery and set forth any proposals concerning the form of production.)

11. <u>Assertion of Claims of Privilege or Work-Product Immunity After Production:</u>

(State whether the parties have agreed on a procedure to address claims of privilege or work product immunity for items inadvertently produced during discovery.)

12. <u>Motions</u>: The parties acknowledge that W.D. Mich. LCivR 7.1(d) requires the moving party to ascertain whether the motion will be opposed. All motions shall affirmatively state the efforts of the moving party to comply with the obligation created by Rule 7.1(d).

The following dispositive motions are contemplated by each party:

(Set forth all contemplated dispositive motions.)

The parties anticipate that all dispositive motions will be filed by _____.

13. <u>Alternative Dispute Resolution</u>: The parties recommend that this case be submitted to the following method(s) of alternative dispute resolution:

(Set forth each party's position with respect to the preferred method, if any, of alternative dispute resolution. Indicate what discovery, if any, is needed prior to conducting ADR in order to make ADR most effective. Indicate preferred time frame for conducting ADR. Methods used in this district include, but are not limited to, voluntary facilitative mediation (W.D. Mich. LCivR 16.3), early neutral evaluation (W.D. Mich. LCivR 16.4), and case evaluation (MCR 2.403 and W.D. Mich. LCivR 16.5).) See the court's website (www.miwd.uscourts.gov) for a list of mediators and the local rules regarding the forms of ADR used in this district.

- 14. <u>Length of Trial</u>: Counsel estimate the trial will last approximately _____ days total, allocated as follows: _____ days for plaintiff's case, _____ days for defendant's case, _____ days for other parties.
- 15. <u>Prospects of Settlement</u>: The status of settlement negotiations is:

(Indicate persons present during negotiations, progress toward settlement, and issues that are obstacles to settlement.)

16. Other: Set forth any special characteristics that may warrant extended discovery, accelerated disposition by motion, or other factors relevant to the case.

The joint status report shall be approved and signed by all counsel of record and by any party who represents him or herself. The report shall be filed with the Clerk of Court.

5. <u>Case Manager</u>: Any questions concerning the scheduling conference should be directed to Marge Hetherington, Case Manager for Magistrate Judge Scoville, at (616) 456–2309.

Case 1:13-cv-00854-PLM Doc #32 Filed 12/02/13 Page 5 of 5 Page ID#371

6. <u>Sanctions</u>: The failure of a party to participate in submission of the joint status report or to appear or participate in the Rule 16 scheduling conference may result in the imposition of any of the sanctions allowed by Rule 16(f), including dismissal of the action or entry of default, as appropriate.

TT	TC	α	Ω D	DE	DED
11	12	20	UK	IJE	RED.

Dated: December 2, 2013 /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

United States Magistrate Judge